

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 16TH JANUARY 2007 / 26TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 1841 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

P.T. MOLLY, @ VINSANSIA, D/O.SOURI THOMMAN,
AGED 42 YEARS, PALLATHU HOUSE, THAMMANAM,
CHALIKKAVATTOM, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.MADHU
SMT.P.DEEPTHI

RESPONDENT:

THE CORPORATION OF COCHIN,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY,
COCHIN CORPORATION, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI. S. RAMESH BABU, SC.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No.1841 OF 2007

Dated this the 16th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

The grievance of the petitioner is that even though construction of a building by one Sri.Simmi S/o. Peter illegally was brought to the notice of the Corporation by the petitioner through Ext.P3 complaint, the Corporation has not so far taken any action. I do not propose to examine the genuineness of the allegations contained in Ext.P3. But since Ext.P3 has obviously been submitted to the Corporation by the petitioner, it is only appropriate that the Corporation takes a decision on the same. Accordingly, the Writ Petition will stand disposed of at this stage itself with the following directions:

The respondent-Corporation will take up Ext.P3, issue notice to Simmi, S/o.Peter, Kotteparambil, Thammanam, Chalikkavattom, the person named in Ext.P3, hear the petitioner as well as Sri.Simmi and dispose of Ext.P3 in accordance with law at their earliest and at any rate within one month of receiving copy of this judgment.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt

